dation, can be given the plot of 40 sq. yards in its places, if so, what arte the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) and (b) As per clarification issued by the Delhi Admn. in November, 1990, •» persons whose lands measuring exactly one bigha were acquired and in respect of which awards were announced prior to 3-4-1986 are eligible for 40 sq. yards plot.

(c) Prior to 15-11-1990, in some cases by a wrong interpretation of the orders, Delhi Administration had recommended 250 sq. yards erroneously in case of land acquired measured one bigha. The correct position was clarified on 15-11-1990. In respect of cases where 250 sq. yards plots were wrongly recommended no final decision has been taken by the Delhi Administration.

Rohini Residential Scheme

3422. SHRI SHIV PRASAD CHANPURIA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) thei number of changes for location of plots were made from Rohini Residential Scheme to other fully developed schemes of North Zone during January, 1989 to June, 1992;

(b) what are the grounds for which changes were allowed;

(c) the number of allottees still requesting for change from Rohini Residential Scheme to other developed colonies of North Zone;

(d) the requests of such allottees of Rithala Village in Rohini were considered but not acceded to; and

(e) what are the reasons for not allowing change of requests affected Rithala Villagers to other developed Schemes of North Zone?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) and (b) DDA have reported that in 14 cases changes were allowed during the period January 1989 to June 1992 for reasons such as;

(i) Plot allotted was a irregular size.

(ii) Plot allotted is not fully developed.

(iii) Existance of grave yard etc. at the site.

(c) In 9 cases allottees, are still requesting for change from Rohini residential scheme to other developed pockets of North Zone.

(d) and (e) Two cases of requests for change of allottees residing in Rithala Village from Rohini to other pockets of North Zone were not agreed to earlier. However, their subsequent requests for change are again under examination.

Construction of road in Mandawali Fazalpur, Delhi

3423. SHRI SHANTI TYAGI; Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that huge amount was spent to construct a 100 feet wide road from the Railway crossing to the Railway Colony, Mandawali Fazalpur, Delhi but only a stretch of 500 yards was constructed;

(b) if so, what measures have been taken to complete the road, during the last five years;

(c) whether it is a fact that there is no link road to connect the Railway Colony to any motorable road in its vicinity;

(d) whether it is also a fact that the drains in the colony remain blocked with filth and dirty water and fear of outbreak of epidemic looms large at all times but the colony is declared an urbanised regularised colony; and